IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA WESTERN CAPE DIVISION, CAPE TOWN



Case No: 13721/2022

In the application between:

JACQUES ANDRÉ FISHER N.O. First Applicant

REUNERT NDIVHUHU KHARIVHE N.O. Second Applicant

[In their capacity as joint trustees of the insolvent estate of Cornelius Johannes Steynberg]

and

ADRIAAN WILLEM VAN ROOYEN N.O. First Respondent

HERMAN BESTER N.O. Second Respondent

CHRISTOPER JAMES ROOS N.O. Third Respondent

JACOLIEN FRIEDA BARNARD N.O. Fourth Respondent

DEIDRE BASSON N.O. Fifth Respondent

CHAVONNES BADENHORST ST CLAIR Sixth Respondent

COOPER N.O.

[In their capacity as joint liquidators of Mirror Trading International (Pty) Limited (in liquidation)]

THE MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT, Seventh Respondent CAPE TOWN

In re:

ADRIAAN WILLEM VAN ROOYEN N.O. First Applicant

HERMAN BESTER N.O. Second Applicant

CHRISTOPER JAMES ROOS N.O. Third Applicant

JACOLIEN FRIEDA BARNARD N.O. Fourth Applicant

DEIDRE BASSON N.O. Fifth Applicant

CHAVONNES BADENHORST ST CLAIR COOPER N.O.

Sixth Applicant

[In their capacity as joint liquidators of Mirror Trading International (Pty) Limited (in liquidation)]

and

THE MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT, CAPE TOWN

Respondent

CONFIRMATORY AND SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned

REUNERT NDIVHUHU KHARIVHE N.O.

do hereby make oath and say:

- I am a major male insolvency practitioner of Stockhoff Trustees (Pty) Ltd at
 250 Johnny Claassens Street, Garsfontein, Pretoria.
- The facts contained in this affidavit fall within my personal knowledge, save where otherwise stated or where the context indicates otherwise, and are true and correct.
- 3. I am a joint trustee of the insolvent estate of Cornelius Johannes Steynberg ("Steynberg"), a major male with identity number 830713 5016 088.
- 4. I am the second applicant in this application.

N RK

- 5. I confirm that I have read the founding affidavit of my joint trustee, Jacques Andre Fisher N.O. and confirm the content thereof as true and correct as far as it relates to me.
- I support the relief sought as set out in the Notice of Motion attached to the funding affidavit.

DEPONENT

I certify that this affidavit was signed and sworn to before me at PRETORIA on this the 28TH day of OCTOBER 2022, by the deponent who acknowledged that he knew and understood the contents of this affidavit, had no objection to taking this oath, considered this oath to be binding on his conscience and uttered the following words: 'I swear that the contents of this affidavit are both true and correct, so help me God/'

COMMISSIONER OF OATHS

Name:

Address:

Capacity:

COMMISSIONER OF CATHS
LUNA CORINA CARLSON
EX OFFICIO - PRETORIA
Ref: TC/05/01/2018 - 04/06/2018
117 Phula Lodge, Zwavelpoort
Pretoria

staned at Proportion 28 10 2022

a

"JF2"



IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (LIMPOPO DIVISION, POLOKWANE)

DATE: 13 APRIL 2021

CASE NO: 2368/2021

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE PRESIDENT MAKGOBA

In the application of.

ADRIAAN WILLEM VAN ROOYEN N.O.

First Applicant

HERMAN BESTER N.O.

Second Applicant

CHRISTOPHER JAMES ROOS N.O.

Third Applicant

JACOLIEN FRIEDA BARNARD N.O.

Fourth Applicant

DEIDRE BASSON N.O.

Fifth Applicant

(in their capacities as the duly appointed joint Provisional liquidators of Mirror Trading International (Pty) Ltd (in provisional liquidation))

and

2021-04-13

CORNELIUS JOHANNES STEYNBERG (ID: 830713 5016 088)

First Respondent

NERINA STEYNBERG (ID: 820310 0219 080)

Second Respondent

Having considered the documents filed of record and having heard counsel,

IT IS ORDERED THAT

- a) That the necessity to furnish a copy of this application to the First Respondent be dispensed with in terms of Section 9(4A)(a)(iv):
- b) That the estate of the First Respondent be placed under provisional sequestration returnable on 20 July 2021



- c) That First Respondent, or any interested party, be called upon to advance reasons, if any, why this Honourable Court should not order the final sequestration of the said estate on the return date of the above provisional order.
- d) That the costs of this application be in the administration of the First Respondent's estate
- e) That the provisional sequestration order
 - a Be served on the First Respondent by service thereof on the Second Respondent.
 - Be served, by the sheriff, upon the employees of the First Respondent, if any, and any trade unions representing such employees, if any, at the First Respondent's residential address at Unit 4. SS Tawny Hawk Villas, 31 Tawny Hawk Crescent, Eagles Crest, Polokwane;
 - c By delivery thereof on the South African Revenue Services
 - d By delivery thereof on the Master Polokwane,
 - e. By publication thereof in the National Government Gazette, Citizen
 News Paper and Beeld News Paper

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

2021 -04- 13

REGISTRAR





IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (LIMPOPO DIVISION, POLOKWANE)

CASE NO: 2368/2021

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE: JUDGE MULLER

On the 20th day of JULY 2021

In the matter between:

ADRIAAN WILLEM VAN ROOYEN N.O.

HERMAN BESTER N.O.

CHRISTOPHER JAMES ROOS N.O.

JACOLIEN FRIEDA BARNARD N.O.

DEIDRE BASSON N.O.

and

CORNELIUS JOHANNES STEYNBERG

(Identity number: 830713 5016 088)

NERINA STEYNBERG

(Identity number: 820310 0219 080)

FIRST APPLICANT

SECOND APPLICANT

THIRD APPLICANT

FOURTH APPLICANT

FIFTH APPLICANT

FIRST RESPONDENT

SECOND RESPONDENT

@ W

Having considered the documents filed of record and having heard counsel for the Applicants and Respondents,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

 The Estate of the First Respondent is finally wound up in the hands of the Master.

2. Costs of the application are costs in the sequestration.

BY ORDER OF THIS COURT

THE REGISTRAR

Transport of the state of the s







REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Insolvensiewet, No. 24 van 1936 (soos gewysig) [Artikel 18(1) en 56(2)] Insolvency Act, No. 24 of 1936 (as amended) [Section 18(1) and 56(2)]

SERTIFIKAAT VAN AANSTELLING VAN VOORLOPIGE KURATOR CERTIFICATE OF APPOINTMENT OF PROVISIONAL TRUSTEE

NO: L000053/2021

Hierby word gesertifiseer dat: This is to certify that:

1.	FISHER, JACQUES ANDRE	ID.	6705095164081
2.	KHARIVHE, REUNERT NDIVHUHO	ID.	6010295872082
3.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ID.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
4.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ID.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
5.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ID.	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
6.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ID.	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
7.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ID.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

aangestel word as Voorlopige Kurator van die insolvente boedel van: appointed as Provisional Trustee of the insolvent estate of:

CORNELIUS JOHANNES STEYNBERG 8307135016088

ONGETROUD / UNMARRIED

wat op Bevel van die Hooggeregshof van Suid-Afrika (LIMPOPO HIGH COURT (POLOKWANE) Afdeling), voorlopige gesekwestreer is, met die magte en bevoegdhede soos uiteengesit in die Insolvensiewet, 1936 (Wet 24 van 1936).

which was placed under provisional sequestration by Order of the High Court of South (LIMPOPO HIGH COURT (POLOKWANE) Division), dated the with the powers and authority as set out in the Insolvency Act, 1936 (Act 24 of 1936). LEJATE

2021 -05- 11

MSELEKA MEESTER VAN DIE HOË HOF VAN SUID-AFRIKA MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (POLOKWANE MASTERS OFFICE

111 MAY 2021 DATUM STEMPEL DATE STAMP

URN: 9992021INS000053

HC97

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (WESTERN CAPE DIVISION, CAPE TOWN)

3022-08-31

ase No: 13721/2922

At Cape Town on 31 August 2022

Before the Honourable Justice Dolamo

The application between:

H BESTER N.O.

AW VAN ROOYEN N.O.

CJ ROOS N.O.

JF BARNARD N.O.

D BASSON N.O.

CBS COOPER N.O.

Private Bag X9020, Cape Town 5000

2022 -08- 3 1

WCD-006

First applicant

Second applicant

Third applicant

Fourth applicant

Fifth applicant

Sixth applicant

(Cited in their capacities as the joint liquidators of Mirror Trading International (Pty) Ltd [in liquidation])

and

THE MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT, CAPE TOWN

Respondent

DRAFT ORDER

After having read the papers filed of record, and having heard counsel for the applicants, an order is granted in the following terms:

1. The applicants are permitted to prosecute this application on an ex parte basis.

Strydom, Rabie & Heijstek Inc 012 786 0954 | Susan@srhinc.co.za c/o Mostert & Bosman Box 97 021 914 3322

- 2. A rule nisi ("the provisional order") in the following terms is hereby granted:
 - 2.1 The liquidators of Mirror Trading International (Pty) Ltd ("the Company" and "the liquidators" respectively) should treat Bitcoin ("BTC") in the estate of the Company as intangible assets that constitute "property" as defined in section 2 of the Insolvency Act, 24 of 1936 ("the Insolvency Act");
 - 2.2 The liquidators, in dealing with claims by and against those who deposited BTC with the Company ("Investors"), are required to take specific cognisance of the following classes of Investors in the so-called Investment Scheme operated by the Company ("the Scheme"):
 - 2.2.1 The first class of investors are those individuals who invested in the Scheme, but who did not receive anything i.e. zero in return ("Class 1 Investors");
 - 2.2.2 The second class of investors are those individuals who invested in the Scheme and who, although having received a return on their investment, received less than what they invested in the Scheme ("Return" and "Class 2 Investors"). These investors, although having received a Return, did not profit from the Scheme; and
 - 2.2.3 The third class of investors are those individuals who invested in the Scheme and who received returns that exceed the amount of capital invested in the Scheme, thereby profiting from being participant in the scheme ("Profit" and "Class 3 Investors");
 - 2.3 Those individuals who deposited BTC with the company and who intend to submit claims in the winding up of the Company and prove same, as

a

contemplated by section 44 of the Insolvency Act, are required to submit their claims with the Company in Rand value;

- 2.4 In the event that the investment agreements concluded by and between the Company and Investors are void *ab initio* as a consequence of the alleged illegality of the Company's business ("the first scenario"), then:
 - 2.4.1 In relation to Class 1 Investors:
 - 2.4.1.1 Class 1 Investors should be permitted to submit a claim against the estate that in an amount equal to their investment in the Scheme;
 - 2.4.1.2 the value of a Class 1 Investor's investment in the Scheme should be calculated in Rand value, as at the date upon which the relevant investor(s) made the relevant investment in the Scheme;
 - 2.4.1.3 insofar as their claims are properly proved in compliance with section 44 of the Insolvency Act, their claims should be accepted by the Liquidators;
 - 2.4.2 In relation to Class 2 Investors:
 - 2.4.2.1 they will have to account towards the estate for any Return(s) on their so-called investment(s) in the Scheme;
 - 2.4.2.2 the Liquidators must ensure that the Returns are taken into account and subtracted from the investments made by the Class 2 Investors into the Scheme, so that those

Returns may ultimately be applied in reduction of their claims against MTI;

- 2.4.2.3 Class 2 Investors should be permitted to submit a claim against the estate in an amount equal to their impoverishment or the Company's enrichment, whichever is the lesser, which is in turn to be quantified by subtracting the properly quantified Return(s) from the properly quantified Investment(s) of the relevant Investor(s), the result of which will represent either one or both of the Investors' impoverishment or the Company's enrichment;
- 2.4.2.4 the value of a Class 2 Investor's investment in the Scheme should be calculated in Rand value, as at the date upon which the relevant investor(s) made the relevant investment in the Scheme:
- 2.4.2.5 the value of a Class 2 Investor's Return should be calculated in Rand value, as at the date upon which the relevant Return or portion thereof was paid by the Company to the relevant Investor;
- 2.4.2.6 to the extent that a Class 2 Investor submits a claim in the estate that complies with section 44 of the Insolvency Private Bay X9020, Cape Town 8000

 Act, that represents the Rand value of the lesser of that Investor's impoverishment or the Company's enrichment, in a manner that corresponds with the Liquidators' independent assessment of the relevant

claim, such claims should be accepted by the Liquidators;

- 2.4.2.7 the Liquidators will be vested with claims against the Class 2 Investors for repayment of the Returns, in terms of section 29 and 30 of the Insolvency Act, despite the fact that a Class 2 Investor's claim may have been reduced to account for the same Return when that Investor proved a claim in the estate, when and where the circumstances so permit;
- 2.4.2.8 liquidators may pursue the Class 2 Investors in respect of the Returns, in terms of either section 29 or 30 of the Insolvency Act, when and where the circumstances so permit;
- 2.4.2.9 when a Return paid to a Class 2 Creditor is set aside by a Court in terms of section 29 or 30 of the Insolvency Act, that Return [in whatever form contemplated by section 32(3) of the Insolvency Act] will be repaid/returned to the estate, to form part of the assets available for ultimate distribution to the creditors in the form of a dividend;
- 2.4.2.10 in such event, the Class 2 Investor concerned should be afforded an opportunity of proving an additional claim against the estate, in relation talks filturation question;

WCD-006

2.4.3 In relation to Class 3 Investors:



- 2.4.3.1 class 3 Investors will initially not have a claim against theCompany;
- 2.4.3.2 the Liquidators will be vested with claims against Class3 Investors premised:
 - 2.4.3.2.1. on section 26 of the Insolvency Act, in terms of which the Liquidators can reclaim the Profit(s) transferred by the Company to Class 3 Investors, when and where the circumstances so permit;
 - 2.4.3.2.2. on sections 29 or 30 of the Insolvency Act, on the very same basis that they have claims against the Class 2 Investors under these sections, when and where the circumstances so permit;
 - 2.4.3.2.3. on section 31 of the Insolvency Act in the case of those individuals who colluded to dispose of the property belonging to MTI in a manner which had the effect of prejudicing its creditors or of preferring one of its creditors above another, when and where the circumstances so permit;

2.4.3.3 the value of a Class 3 Investor's investment in the Scheme should be calculated in Rand Value, as at the

NCD-006

Pa

date upon which the relevant investor(s) made their investments in the Scheme;

- 2.4.3.4 the value of a Class 3 Investor's reimbursement in respect of their initial investment and/or the Profit should be calculated in Rand value, as at the date upon which the relevant creditor(s) received same from the Company;
- 2.4.3.5 in dealing with claims by and against Class 3 Investors in the First Scenario:
 - 2.4.3.5.1. claims submitted by Class 3 Investors, prior to the finalisation of the Liquidators' claims that are to be instituted in terms of sections 26 and 29 or 30 and/or 31 of the Insolvency Act, should be rejected;
 - 2.4.3.5.2. the Liquidators may pursue the Class 3
 Investors in respect of all transfers made to
 these Investors by the Company, including in
 respect of the Profit(s), in terms of section 26
 and 29 or 30 and/or 31 of the Insolvency Act,
 when and where the circumstances so
 permit;
 - 2.4.3.5.3. the Liquidators, once successful in procuring 2022 -08- 3 1

 return of the subject disposition(s), should wcp-006
 thereafter allow the affected Class 3 Investors

Private Bag X9020, Cape Town 8000

a further opportunity to prove a claim in the estate, arising from the Company being revested with their initial investment into the Scheme, but not in respect of profit;

- 2.4.3.5.4. the liquidators should not permit any claim in terms of which profit is claimed from the estate such a claim will in the circumstances be statutorily excluded in terms of section 26(2) of the Insolvency Act;
- 2.5 In the event that the investment agreements concluded by and between the Company and Investors are not void *ab initio* ("the second scenario"), then:
 - 2.5.1 Investors will in the Second Scenario acquire the status of a creditor of the Company on a contractual basis and the Liquidators are vested with claims against Investors in the Second Scenario based on section 29 or section 30 of the Insolvency Act, when and where the circumstances so permit;
 - 2.5.2 claims submitted by Investors should admitted insofar as they comply with section 44 of the Insolvency Act, provided that such claims are properly formulated and proven;
 - 2.5.3 claims submitted by Investors should be calculated in Rand value as at the date of liquidation, and such claims are to represent the available balance of the relevant investor's investment(s) in question after taking into account "Bitcoin in and Bitcoin out";

WCD-008

- 2.5.4 liquidators should then pursue the Class 2 Investors in respect of the Returns, and the Class 3 Investors in respect of their initial investments and the Profits, transferred to them by the Company, in terms of either section 29 or 30 of the Insolvency Act, when and where the circumstances so permit;
- 2.5.5 liquidators, once successful in procuring return of the subject disposition(s), should permit such Investors to prove a further claim in the estate, arising from the Company being revested with such dispositions concerned;
- 2.6 In relation to individuals that defrauded MTI itself, they will not have any claims against the Company emanating from such conduct and the liquidators are vested with a cause of action against these individuals premised on inter alia section 26 and/or section 31 of the Insolvency Act, to reclaim dispositions to these individuals by the Company, when and where the circumstances so permit.
- 3. The provisional order shall be of no effect, until and unless confirmed by this Court, in whole, part or in an amended form, on the return date.
- 4. The provisional order, together with a copy of this application:
 - 4.1 Shall be published on the website https://www.investrust.co.za/mti-liquidation.html;
 - 4.2 Shall be distributed to all known interested and affected persons of the Company by e-mail, to the extent that their e-mail addresses are known to the applicants;

WCD-006

- 4.3 Shall be distributed to all known interested and affected persons of the Company by Whatsapp on, to the extent that their particulars are known to the applicants, and published on the Whatsapp Groups employed by the applicants to communicate with such individuals;
- 5. The provisional order shall be published in two nationally circulated newspapers, being the Sunday Times and the Rapport newspapes.
- Notice and service of the application and the provisional order in the aforesaid manner shall be effected no less than 30 court days in advance of the return date.
- 7. Any person with an interest in this application and/or the provisional order, is called upon to show cause on 31 October 2022 at 10h00, or as soon thereafter that counsel for the applicants may be heard, as to why the provisional order, or any part thereof, should not be made final.
- The issue regarding costs is reserved.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

Private Bag X9020, Cape Town 8000

COURT REGISTRAR

WCD-006

2022 -08- 3 1

Strydom, Rabie & Heijstek Inc 012 786 0954 | susan Osrhinc.co.za

c/o Mostert & Bosman

021 914 3322 | Krugervd mbalaw.co.za

BOX 97